



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/729,867	12/04/2000	Alexander J. Hartmann	042390.P10326	6801	
8791	7590 03/16/2006		EXAMINER		
	SOKOLOFF TAYLOR &	BALI, VI	BALI, VIKKRAM		
SEVENTH I			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGE	LES, CA 90025-1030		2623		
			DATE MAILED: 03/16/2006	DATE MAILED: 03/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)					
		09/729,867	HARTMANN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	T			
		Vikkram Bali	2623				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress			
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISTRICT IN THE MAILING DISTRICT DISTRI	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS c, cause the application to become ABANI	TION.  be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 D	ecember 2005.					
2a)□		action is non-final.					
3)□	,						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form P	PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All  b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	-	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ceived in this Nationa	l Stage			
* 0	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
•	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not rec	ceivea.				
•	4.						
Attachmen 1)   Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) []	many (DTC 442)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ail Date				
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Information (5) Other:	mal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)							

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1-8,10-12,14,15,18,20-22,24,25,30,31,33,34,37,38,40,41,43-46,48,49,51,52,55,56,58 and 60-62.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims rejected are 1-8,10-12,14,15,18,20-22,24,25,30,31,33,34,37,38,40,41,43-46,48,49,51,52,55,56,58 and 60-62.

Art Unit: 2623

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/28/2005 has been entered.

In response to the amendment filled on 12/19/2005, all the amendments have been entered and the action follows:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

  Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of

Application/Control Number: 09/729,867

Art Unit: 2623

35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Distinguishing photographs and graphics on the world wide web, by Athitsos, IEEE 1997 in view of Fukuda et al (US 5867593).

With respect to claim 1, Athitsos discloses a photograph and graphic distinguishing method that train a model using the different values of the images (see page 11, col. 1, lines 2-9, lines 13-19, col. 2, lines 4-8, the photographs and graphics are read as natural and artificial or slide and comic images), the training is done per the color and the amount of noise (see page 11 col. 2 first paragraph) as claimed. Furthermore, Athitsos also discloses the feature vector as the edge feature of the image, (see page 12 section 4 image metrics, and page 11 column 2, last paragraph, wherein the edge contours between the regions are considered as the distinctions in the images) as claimed in the other independent claims. However, he fails to disclose calculating a difference between the first and second image to get a noise feature vector to use that for training the model, as claimed. Fukuda teaches calculating a difference between the first and second image to get a noise feature vector to use that for training the model, (see Abstract the horizontal and the vertical difference is obtained that is use for the purpose of discrimination of the image blocks) as claimed.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to simply combine the two references as they are analogous

Application/Control Number: 09/729,867

Art Unit: 2623

because they are solving similar problem of image classification. The teaching of Fukuda can be incorporated in to the Athitsos's system of image classification in order to provide a system that discriminates the image.

With respect to claims 3-5 as best understood, the median filter, the gaussian filter and the Wiener filter is well known in order to reduce the noise in the signal.

With respect to claim 6, Fukuda further teaches the video stream of data, (see col. 5, lines 40-42, image picked up by video camera) as claimed.

Claims 7-8, 10-12, 14-15, 18, 20-22, 24-25, 30-31, 33-34, 37-38, 40-41, 43-46, 48-49, 51-52, 55-56, 58, and 60-62 are rejected for the same reasons as set forth in the rejection of claims 1-6, because claims 7-8, 10-12, 14-15, 18, 20-22, 24-25, 30-31, 33-34, 37-38, 40-41, 43-46, 48-49, 51-52, 55-56, 58, and 60-62 are claiming subject matter that is in some what similar to as claimed in claims 1-6.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vikkram Bali whose telephone number is 571.272.7415. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM - 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on 571.272.7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/729,867

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

Vikkram B

Art Unit 2623

Page 5

vb March 8, 2006

free).